PROGRESS AND DIFFICULTIES IN STUDENT'S UNDERSTANDING OF VECTOR AND FIELD CONCEPTS IN ELECTROSTATICS:

A QUALITATIVE STUDY OF A SMALL GROUP OF UPPER SECONDARY STUDENTS.

Richard Moynihan, Paul van Kampen, Odilla Finlayson, Eilish McLoughlin

Introduction.

- Motivations for project.
- Metholodogy
- Implimentation.
- Research Questions.
- Student progressions through lessons.
- Conclusions.

Motivations for research

Promote conceptual understanding.

- Traditional methods typically ineffective. (Dykstra, et al., 1992, McDermott & Shaffer, 1992)
- Student centered learning which allows students to explore concepts.
- The use of guided inquiry is ideally suited for focusing learning on the development of scientific concepts (Loucks-Horsley, & Olson, 2000).
- Helps reduces load on working memory Cognitive Load Theory. (Plaas, Moreno & Brünken, 2010)

Research - Methodology.

Case Study Methodology – Descriptive / Explanatory.

 Small sample (N=7), all male, socio-econimically disadvanted school, range of abilities

Quantitiative analysis not appropriate.

Opportiunity for qualitative analysis.

Implimentation of Classes



Implimentation of Classes

- Students were In groups of 2/3, completing the guided tutorial lesson.
- Peer discussion and peer tuitition was encouraged during the lesson.
- Checkouts at the end of each section.
 - All students submissions were to be in agreement.
 - Teacher to group dialogue group to teacher dialogue ultilised when difficulties arose.

Research Questions

How does the use of guided inquiry lesson enchace our students understanding of 2D vector addition.

 How does their understanding of 2D vector addition transfer to electrostatic fields.

Student progression. Vectors Pretest



Concepts Used	Student Kesponses
Vector Addition Used	3C , 3D , 3 G
Scalar Addition	3A, 3F, 3E
No Reasoning Submitted	3B
Correct Ranking for Setup	3B, 3C, 3D

Student Progression – Vectors Lesson



Student Progression – Vectors Lesson

- T: Consider if you removed the e and f vectors from the diagram and just used their horizontal and vertical vectors instead.
- 3C: Then we would add the horizontal and vertical components and use the tip to tail with these to find the resultant vector.
- 3D: That looks like it gives us the resultant vector we've drawn.
- T: So explain to me how it produce that vector?
- 3C: Cause the vectors are pointing in different directions, we don't add them directly. We just add the components instead and use them to find the final vector.

Student Progression – Vectors Posttest



Concepts Used	Student
	Responses
Correct Outcome for	3B, 3C, 3D, 3G
Setup	
Vector Addition	3B, 3C, 3D, 3G
Scalar Addition	3A, 3E
No Reasoning Submitted /	
Reasoning unclear	
Not completed / Absent	3F



Ė)

E,

 Applying Vector concepts to field representation.

Review basic operations.



- 2D Addition of Vectors.
- Can the students reason why we do this?



- 2D Addition of Vectors.
- Can the students reason why we do this?

"the green arrow goes out and up a bit, but the blue brings it back in"



- 2D Addition of Vectors.
- Can the students reason why we do this?



Can they rank the field?

Can they explain the variation of the field?



 3B: E=F/q, there is not change.

- 3E: There is no variation as the vectors as the same.
- 3C: There is no variation as all the arrows point in the same direction and are all the same length so they are the same strength.







Conclusions

 We observed difficulties with concepts related to vector addition pre-instruction.

 Student progress was outlined during out lessons, showed students could highlight and explain the use of key concepts in vector addition

 Posttest results showed more capable students could apply vector addition, focusing on using a graphical method over a mathematical method.

 Our students experienced difficulty in transferring these vector concepts to an electrostatic field context.

Conclusions

- Student dialogues and interviews give clearer insight into student thinking than the use of pretests, worksheets and posttests.
- Student's real time dialogue could be a potential tool for assessment in the classroom – (research in this field would be useful)
- Expanded role in data collecton moving forward.

References:

- Dykstra, D. I., Boyle, C. F., & Monarch, I. A. (1992). Studying conceptual change in learning physics. Journal of Science Education (72), pg 615 - 652.
- Loucks-Horsley, S., & Olson, S. (Eds.). (2000). Inquiry and the National Science Education Standards:: A Guide for Teaching and Learning. National Academies Press.
 - Plass, J. L., Moreno, R., & Brünken, R. (2010). Cognitive load theory. Cambridge University Press.
 - McDermott, L. C., & Shaffer, P. S. (1992). Research as a guide for cirriculum development: an example from introductory electricity. Part I: investigation of student understanding. American Journal of Physics (60), 994 1002.

Thanks for listening

Richie Moynihan

<u>Rmoynihan.occ@lmetb.ie</u>
Twitter: @RmoynihanOCC

Questions?



