APPENDIX III: Postgraduate Evaluation Criteria & Detail

Postgraduate Evaluation Criteria & Detail	Evaluation Marks
 PROJECT: Quality of the research project including (40%): Clarity and coherence of the proposed research project. Quality and appropriateness of approach, including research methodology, and consideration of ethical and sex/gender issues and the limitations of the approach to be adopted. Feasibility and credibility of the proposed research plan in the proposed time period. Originality and innovative nature Dissemination plans Direct alignment with Strategic Funding Partner themes as set out in Terms and Conditions, where appropriate Training and Career Development Aspects of proposal (10%): Clarity and quality of Training and Career Development Plan to acquire new knowledge and skills to achieve research and professional development aims⁵. Evidence of real thought as to why research is to be undertaken and the impact of the proposed award on the applicants' career path. 	50% (0-50)
 2. APPLICANT: Capability of the applicant including: Track record and research potential of the applicant. Personal Statement. Referees' reports. Match between applicant profile and research project. 	30% (0-30)
 3. ENVIRONMENT: Suitability of the host organisation(s)⁶: Suitability and ability of Academic Supervisor(s) and Enterprise Mentor(s) and to provide adequate supervision. Quality of infrastructure and facilities provided by Host Organisation(s). HEI (10%): Enterprise Partner (10%) Match between Applicant, Academic Supervisor(s), Enterprise Mentor(s) and Host Organisation(s). 	20% (0-20)

⁵Particular attention should be paid to the skills relevant to employment outside the traditional Academic sector.

⁶The Host Organisations will be divided into the HEI (10%) and Enterprise Partner (10%).