

GRADUATE STUDIES BOARD

MINUTES

Monday 10 September 2012

9.00 a.m.-12.30 p.m. in A204

- Present:** Dr Lisa Looney (Chair), Ms Gillian Barry, Professor Liam Barry, Dr Pat Brereton, Ms Goretti Daughton, Dr Tracy Dixon, Dr Bernadette Flanagan, Dr Christine Loscher, Ms Louise McDermott (Secretary), Dr Enda McGlynn, Dr Ciarán Mac Murchaidh, Ms Patricia Moore, Professor Colm O’Gorman, Ms Caitríona Rowsome
- Apologies:** Dr Gabriel Flynn, Ms Mairéad Nic Giolla Mhichíl, Dr Anne Morrissey, Dr Ana Terres

The Chair welcomed Dr Loscher back to the Graduate Studies Board following her leave. She expressed appreciation to Dr Brereton and Ms Rowsome, on behalf of the GSB, for their very significant contribution to its work, noting that both were about to step down from membership.

SECTION A: MINUTES AND RELATED ISSUES

1. Adoption of agenda

The agenda was adopted subject to the removal of Item 6.38 (a duplicate of Item 6.36) and the consequent renumbering of all subsequent sub-items under Item 6.

2. Minutes of the meetings of 28 June 2012

The minutes were confirmed and were signed by the Chair.

3. Matters arising from the minutes

- 3.1** Noted that workshops on Professional Development Plans had taken place on 3 July 2012, organised by the Chair in conjunction with the Training and Development section in the Human Resources Office. Feedback had been good, though it would be desirable to have more people in attendance next year. The ‘lunch and learn’ sessions for 2013 are being organised also, and the provision of online resources is increasing. Two skills needs analysis tools for graduate students are now available on line. (Item 3.3)
- 3.2** Noted that options in respect of ensuring appropriate standards of English language on the part of native speakers of other languages are under discussion in the Faculty of Engineering and Computing. (Item 3.4)
- 3.3** Noted that it had been confirmed that an examination for confirmation on the PhD register had taken place. (Item 3.5)
- 3.4** Noted that the online review of the Doctorate of Music in Performance programme taught in the Royal Irish Academy of Music had taken place in July/August 2012 and had led to the conclusion that it would be helpful and appropriate for the programme to undergo re-accreditation in 2012/13. This recommendation is to be submitted to Academic Council at its meeting of 10 October 2012. (Item 3.18)
- 3.5** Noted that work to ensure the availability of the PGR2 form on line was ongoing. (Item 3.20)
- 3.6** Noted that a staff member to represent DCU Business School and the Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences would be nominated to the PAC User Group. (Item 3.21)
- 3.7** Noted that clarification had been obtained in respect of a query about PAC applicant figures. (Item 3.21)
- 3.8** Noted that an issue in respect of internal examiners would shortly be mentioned to Heads of School. (Item 3.22)
- 3.9** Noted that the revised version of module LG536, *Political Terrorism*, had been approved as a Graduate Training Element, by Chair’s action on 10 September 2012. (Item 3.24)
- 3.10** Noted that the proposals on the approval mechanisms for structured research awards had been approved by the Education Committee at its meeting of 5 September 2012 and, that approval would be sought from Academic Council at its meeting of 10 October 2012. (Item 3.25)

- 3.11** Noted that an additional change had been made to *Academic Regulations for Postgraduate Degrees by Research and Thesis* to reflect the change to the range of credits associated with doctoral degrees (as approved by Academic Council at its meeting of 25 June 2012). (Item 3.26)
- 3.12** Noted that, in July 2012, a review of examining arrangements since the inception of the GSB in September 2007 had been undertaken to establish the extent to which appointments in the same year of external examiners from the same departments in other institutions might have been made. This review exercise will be undertaken on an ongoing basis from now on. The July 2012 review indicates that, while Schools varied somewhat in the extent to which they drew from a very wide range of institutions in terms of external examiner nominees, there are no significant causes for concern to date. The data from the review will be made available to Heads of School, on a per-School basis. (Item 5)
- 3.13** Noted that the proposed Recognition of Prior Learning policy for research students was being discussed in Faculties and that the outcome would be considered by the GSB at its meeting of 29 November 2012. (Item 5.2)
- 3.14** With respect to PhD by publication, it was noted that Mr Paul Sheehan, Director of Library Services, had provided advice on the appropriateness of the inclusion of articles in pdf format. The proposals are being discussed in Faculties, and the outcome is to be considered by the GSB at its meeting of 29 November 2012. (Items 6.3 and 6.4)
- 3.15** Noted that proposals on joint supervision and awards would be submitted for the consideration of the Education Committee at its meeting of 3 October 2012. (Items 7.2 and 7.4)
- 3.16** Noted that the GSB terms of reference, notwithstanding their having been approved by the GSB at its meeting of 28 June 2012, required amendment in respect of the stipulation about substitution of attendance, and that therefore the following: ‘Substitution of membership is not permitted as a matter of course, though if a member wishes to speak to an agenda item and cannot be present a substitute may attend provided the Chair permits this.’ would be inserted at the appropriate point. (Item 9)
- 3.17** Noted that, for the present, the GSB agenda and papers would be available both in hard copy and on Google Docs (apart from student-specific forms, which will be in hard copy only for the present). (Item 9)
- 3.18** Noted that it had been mentioned to relevant Heads of Schools that postdoctoral researchers involved in transfer reviews are not, without a special case being made, eligible to be internal examiners at final examination stage. (Item 10)

- 3.19** Noted that satisfactory communication had taken place with respect to the importance involving the School in which a student is registered, as well as the relevant Research Centre, in examining the students and also with respect to an issue relating to the status of supervisors. (Item 10)
- 3.20** Noted that the importance of appropriate completion of forms had been mentioned to a School. (Item 10.14)
- 3.21** Noted that discussion was ongoing with respect to the development by a School of a framework for transfer from the Professional Doctoral Programme to PhD. (See also Item 6.12 below.) (Item 10.22)
- 3.22** Noted that a request from a student to transfer to a PhD programme from a Professional Doctoral programme had been withdrawn. (Item 10.22)
- 3.23** Noted that a revised PGR3 form had been submitted by a School, as requested. (Item 10.30)
- 3.24** Noted that, at a future date, the GSB would discuss the issue of maximum word lengths as limits rather than targets. (Item 11)
- 3.25** Noted that the possibility of sourcing external examiners from a wider range of institutions than hitherto had been mentioned to a Head of School. (Item 11)
- 3.26** Noted that it had been mentioned to a Head of School that an additional supervisor was required for a student. (Item 11.15)
- 3.27** Noted that a School had confirmed that an external supervisor had been approved in accordance with regulations. (Item 11.19)
- 3.28** Noted that satisfactory information had been obtained from a School about the need for an additional supervisor and about the experience of a nominated external examiner. (Item 11.23)
- 3.29** Noted that confirmation had been obtained from University College Cork that a student due to transfer to DCU would have been recommended for progression to the next year of study in UCC had the issue of transfer not been relevant. (Item 12.1)
- 3.30** Noted that corrections to a thesis had been signed off by a School Research Convenor. (Item 12.2)
- 3.31** Noted that the GSB schedule of meetings 2012/13 had been revised so as to allow for eight meetings rather than six. (Item 13)

SECTION B: POLICY AND STRATEGY ISSUES**4. Proposed Graduate Training Elements**

No items.

5. Qualifications, roles and responsibilities of supervisors and examiners

5.1 Proposal 1 was approved.

5.2 With respect to Proposal 2, the following issues were noted in discussion:

- the advice of the Human Resources Training and Development section is to be sought with respect to the definition of what constitutes mentoring
- in principle, it is the Head of School who signs off on supervisory duties (in the context of signing off on workload allocations); in practice, this duty may sometimes be carried out by Directors of Research Centres (noted in this regard that a review of Centres will be part of the strategy implementation process through RIS)
- it will be important to define the conditions requiring co-/joint supervision and to define the role of the co-supervisor and the supervisory panel member in relation to each other.

It was agreed to eliminate reference to ‘co-’ supervisor and to refer instead to ‘principal’ and ‘secondary’ supervisor; these individuals, together with one other staff member (who could be from within or outside the relevant School) should constitute the supervisory panel). It was agreed further that the Chair would reword the proposals in line with the discussion that had taken place.

5.3 With respect to Proposal 4, it was agreed to remove it from the list of proposals because it relates to a technical issue rather than an issue of principle. It was agreed that it would be desirable for the names of each supervisory panel member to be recorded on the ITS system. Currently, four fields are available which could be used for this purpose. The possibility of creating additional fields (in the event of need) is to be discussed with relevant staff members.

5.4 With regard to Proposal 5, the importance of protecting the interests of the student was noted, while it was also noted that the current economic and staffing situations meant that it would not always be possible to ensure that supervisors were on permanent contracts of employment. It was agreed that the Chair would draft a statement clearly indicating the importance of protecting the students’ interests and including reference to reasonable expectation of continuity, for inclusion in the guidance document, and would then discuss the issue with Heads of School, to whom the responsibility of approving supervision arrangements at the outset of a student’s research programme falls. It was agreed that, while this sequential

- approach to the issue might prolong the discussion, this would be acceptable in view of the importance of the issue. It was agreed also that Proposal 6 was no longer required because the relevant issues would be covered through the resolution of the issues in Proposal 5.
- 5.5** It was agreed, with respect to Proposal 7, that a relevant sentence from the IUQB's *Good Practice in the Organisation of PhD Programmes in Irish Universities* would be inserted into *Academic Regulations for Postgraduate Degrees by Research and Thesis* to indicate what good practice is in terms of frequency and duration of contact between supervisor and student.
- 5.6** It was noted that the table accompanying the proposals would need to change in light of what had been agreed (as noted above). It was agreed that Faculties would be requested to discuss the proposals and that they would be further considered by the GSB at its meeting of 18 October 2012.

SECTION C: INDIVIDUAL STUDENT ISSUES

6. Applications for transfer to the PhD register or confirmation on the PhD register

- 6.1 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.1, School of Applied Language and Intercultural Studies
Approved subject to the form being signed by one of the supervisors, whose signature is not currently on it.
- 6.2 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.2, School of Applied Language and Intercultural Studies
Approved subject to the form being signed by one of the supervisors, whose signature is not currently on it.
- 6.3 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.3, School of Applied Language and Intercultural Studies
Approved.
- 6.4 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.4, School of Applied Language and Intercultural Studies
Approved.
- 6.5 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.5, School of Applied Language and Intercultural Studies
Approved.
- 6.6 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.6, School of Biotechnology
Approved.
- 6.7 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.7, School of Biotechnology
Approved.

- 6.8 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.8, School of Biotechnology
Approved.
- 6.9 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.9, School of Biotechnology
Decision deferred pending further information.
- 6.10 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.10, School of Biotechnology/NICB
Approved.
- 6.11 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.11, School of Biotechnology/NICB
Approved. Agreed, however, that it would be mentioned to the supervisor and the internal examiner that the information given in the form is brief.
- 6.12 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.12, Dublin City University Business School
Decision deferred pending information about the year of the PhD programme on which it is proposed that the student be registered and the criteria used for the transfer decision. If the response is satisfactory, Chair's action will be used to expedite the approval. Agreed however that, with a view to informing future requests of this kind (for transfer from a professional doctoral programme to a PhD programme), DCU Business School would be requested to devise a framework within which such decisions could be considered. Noted that a similar framework, to guide similar decisions, had also been requested of another School (see Item 3.21 above).
- 6.13 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.13, School of Chemical Sciences
Approved.
- 6.14 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.14, School of Chemical Sciences
Approved.
- 6.15 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.15, School of Chemical Sciences
Approved.
- 6.16 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.16, School of Chemical Sciences
Approved.
- 6.17 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.17, School of Communications
Approved.
- 6.18 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.18, School of Computing
Approved subject to the form being signed by the supervisor.
- 6.19 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.19, School of Computing
Approved.
- 6.20 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.20, School of Computing
Approved.
- 6.21 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.21, School of Computing
Approved.
- 6.22 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.22, School of Computing
Approved.
- 6.23 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.23, School of Computing
Approved.
- 6.24 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.24, School of Education Studies
Approved.

- 6.25 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.25, School of Education Studies
Approved.
- 6.26 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.26, School of Electronic Engineering
Approved.
- 6.27 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.27, School of Electronic Engineering
Approved.
- 6.28 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.28, School of Electronic Engineering
Approved.
- 6.29 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.29, School of Electronic Engineering
Approved.
- 6.30 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.30, School of Electronic Engineering
Approved.
- 6.31 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.31, School of Health and Human Performance
Approved subject to the 'recommendations' box in Section 3 of the form being ticked.
- 6.32 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.32, School of Law and Government
Approved.
- 6.33 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.33, School of Law and Government
Approved subject to the name of the internal examiner being indicated on the front of the form.
- 6.34 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.34, School of Law and Government
Approved.
- 6.35 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.35, School of Law and Government
Approved. Agreed, however, that it would be mentioned to the supervisor and that the information given in the form is brief.
- 6.36 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.36, School of Law and Government
Approved.
- 6.37 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.37, School of Law and Government
Approved. Agreed, however, that a change should be made to what had been written in Section 1(a) to remove any ambiguity about what was being recommended, i.e. confirmation on the PhD register.
- 6.38 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.38, School of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering
Approved.
- 6.39 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.39, School of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering
Approved.
- 6.40 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.40, School of Nursing and Human Sciences
Approved.
- 6.41 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.41, School of Nursing and Human Sciences
Approved.

- 6.42 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.42, School of Nursing and Human Sciences Approved. Agreed that it would be mentioned to the School that the additional 'internal' examiner, who is from an institution outside DCU and had been involved in order to bring specific additional expertise to the confirmation process, would not be eligible to act as external examiner when the time came for final examination of the thesis.
- 6.43 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.43, School of Nursing and Human Sciences Noted that no decision needed to be taken, as the student did not in fact intend to progress with PhD research.
- 6.44 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.44, School of Physical Sciences Approved.
- 6.45 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.45, School of Physical Sciences Approved.
- 6.46 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.46, School of Physical Sciences Approved.
- 6.47 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.47, School of Physical Sciences Approved.
- 6.48 Student ref. GSB/2012/A5/6.48, School of Physical Sciences Approved subject to confirmation as to the proposed format of the final submission (traditional, by publication, by portfolio/composition).

Agreed that the Chair would raise with a School the fact that forms appeared to be completed in a brief and generic fashion and request that this issue be addressed with respect to future forms.

On the issue of minimum length of time prior to transfer to/confirmation on the PhD register, it was noted that a number of relevant issues come into play: in principle, early/late submission of requests to transfer/confirmation should not arise if the admissions process is carried out appropriately; financial pressures for students, in terms of completion of degrees, need to be taken into consideration; feedback on progress, if provided too early in the context of an early transfer/confirmation, may not be optimally helpful; there may be variations across disciplines in terms of what is desirable. It was agreed that a minimum of one year prior to transfer/confirmation should be considered as the norm, but not enforced as a regulation.

It was noted that the level of engagement, in terms of completing PGR3 forms, tends to vary considerably.

The Chair expressed her appreciation to Ms Rowsome for her role in encouraging Schools to ensure timely submission of requests for transfer/confirmation, noting that the present large number of such requests reflected this encouragement.

7. Appointment of external examiners

- 7.1 Dr Lesa Ní Mhunghaile, Mary Immaculate College, University of Limerick
Dr Niamh Howlin, Queen's University Belfast
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.1, PhD, School of Applied Language and Intercultural Studies
Agreed that further discussion with the School would be undertaken with respect to this submission.
- 7.2 Professor Alain Vanderplasschen, Université de Liège
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.2, PhD, School of Biotechnology
Approved.
- 7.3 Dr Simon Whelan, University of Manchester
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.3, PhD, School of Biotechnology
Approved.
- 7.4 Professor Viktor Kožich, Charles University, Prague
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.4, PhD, School of Biotechnology
Approved.
- 7.5 Dr Pilar Fernández Ibáñez, CIEMAT – Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas, Spain
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.5, PhD, School of Biotechnology
Approved.
- 7.6 Dr John Hallsworth, Queen's University Belfast
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.6, PhD, School of Biotechnology
Approved.
- 7.7 Dr Bertil Guve, CTMH – Centre for Technology in Medicine and Health, Karolinska Institutet, Sweden
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.7, MSc, School of Biotechnology
Approved with respect to the nominated external examiner. Agreed, however, that further discussion with the School would be undertaken with respect to other aspects of the submission.
- 7.8 Dr Cathryn Mellersh, Animal Health Trust, UK
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.8, MSc, School of Biotechnology
Approved.
- 7.9 Dr Aideen Long, Trinity College Dublin
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.9, PhD, School of Biotechnology
Approved.
- 7.10 Professor Michael Larkin, Queen's University Belfast
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.10, MSc, School of Biotechnology
Approved.
- 7.11 Dr Leonie Young, Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.11, MSc, School of Biotechnology/NICB
Approved.

- 7.12 Professor David Power, University of Dundee
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.12, PhD, Dublin City University Business School
Approved.
- 7.13 Dr Mary Prendergast, Dublin Institute of Technology
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.13, DBA, Dublin City University Business School
Agreed that further discussion with the School would be undertaken with respect to this submission.
- 7.14 Dr Christos Panayiotopoulos, University of Nicosia
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.14, PhD, Dublin City University Business School
Approved Noted that the 2011/12 *Academic Regulations for Postgraduate Degrees by Research and Thesis* would govern the examination process for the student.
- 7.15 Dr Sabine Boerner, University of Koblenz
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.15, PhD, Dublin City University Business School
Approved.
- 7.16 Professor Brian Walker, Queen's University Belfast
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.16, PhD, School of Chemical Sciences
Approved.
- 7.17 Dr Declan McCormack, Dublin Institute of Technology
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.17, PhD, School of Chemical Sciences
Approved.
- 7.18 Professor Harm-Anton Klok, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.18, PhD, School of Chemical Sciences
Approved.
- 7.19 Dr Leon Barron, King's College London
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.19, MSc, School of Chemical Sciences
Agreed that the School would be requested to identify an alternative nominee to ensure sufficient independence of external examiner and members of the School.
- 7.20 Dr John Langley, University of Southampton
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.20, MSc, School of Chemical Sciences
Approved.
- 7.21 Professor Martin Albrecht, University College Dublin
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.21, PhD, School of Chemical Sciences
Approved.
- 7.22 Professor Peter Pickup, Memorial University of Newfoundland
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.22, PhD, School of Chemical Sciences
Approved.

- 7.23 Professor Richard Pancost, University of Bristol
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.23, PhD, School of Chemical Sciences
Approved.
- 7.24 Dr Veronica McCauley, National University of Ireland, Galway
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.24, MSc, School of Chemical Sciences/School
of Physical Sciences
Approved.
- 7.25 Dr Wolfgang Hürst, Utrecht University
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.25, PhD, School of Computing
Approved.
- 7.26 Dr Abina Crean, University College Cork
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.26, PhD, School of Computing
Approved.
- 7.27 Professor François Yvon, Université Paris-Sud
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.27, PhD, School of Computing
Approved.
- 7.28 Professor Ricardo Colomo Palacios, Universidad Carlos III de Madrid
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.28, PhD, School of Computing
Approved.
- 7.29 Dr Rosarii Griffin, University College Cork
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.29, EdD, School of Education Studies
Approved.
- 7.30 Professor Silvia Nikolova, Sofia University
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.30, PhD, School of Education Studies
Approved.
- 7.31 Professor Bart McGettrick, Liverpool Hope University
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.31, PhD, School of Education Studies
Approved with respect to the nominated external examiner. Agreed,
however, that a justification for early submission would be required. This
justification, once obtained, will be submitted for the consideration of the
GSB at its meeting of 18 October 2012.
- 7.32 Professor Ivan Andonovic, University of Strathclyde
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.32, PhD, School of Electronic Engineering
Approved.
- 7.33 Dr Daniela Petrelli, Sheffield Hallam University
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.33, PhD, School of Electronic Engineering
Approved.
- 7.34 Dr Marleen de Bruijne, Erasmus MC Rotterdam
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.34, PhD, School of Electronic Engineering
Approved subject to confirmation that the unusual word length indicated
represents a typographical error on the form and that an appropriate word
length is in fact involved.

- 7.35 Dr Klaus Witrissal, Graz University of Technology
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.35, PhD, School of Electronic Engineering
Approved.
- 7.36 Dr Brian Foley, Trinity College Dublin
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.36, PhD, School of Electronic Engineering
Approved.
- 7.37 Dr Aoife Lane, Waterford Institute of Technology
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.37, MSc, School of Health and Human
Performance
Approved.
- 7.38 Professor Giuseppe De Vito, University College Dublin
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.38, MSc, School of Health and Human
Performance
Approved.
- 7.39 Dr Anne Haase, University of Bristol
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.39, MSc, School of Health and Human
Performance
Approved.
- 7.40 Dr Catherine Weikart Yeckel, Yale University
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.40, PhD, School of Health and Human
Performance
Approved.
- 7.41 Professor Michael Gallagher, Trinity College Dublin
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.41, PhD, School of Law and Government
Approved.
- 7.42 Dr Claudio Zanni, INAF – Osservatorio Astrofisico di Torino
Student ref. GSB2012/A5, 7.42, PhD, School of Mathematical Sciences
Approved.
- 7.43 Dr Susan Pulko, University of Hull
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.43, PhD, School of Mechanical and
Manufacturing Engineering
Approved.
- 7.44 Dr Allan Rennie, Lancaster University
Student ref. GSB2012/A5, 7.44, PhD, School of Mechanical and
Manufacturing Engineering
Approved.
- 7.45 Professor Tony Charman, Institute of Education, University of London
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.45, PhD, School of Nursing and Human
Sciences
Approved.
- 7.46 Dr Neil Howard Frankish, Trinity College Dublin
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.46, PhD, School of Nursing and Human
Sciences
Approved.

- 7.47 Dr Pascal Chabert, CNRS – Laboratoire de Physique des Plasmas
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.47, PhD, School of Physical Sciences
Approved.
- 7.48 Professor Hugh Starkey, Institute of Education, University of London
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.48, PhD, Education Department, St Patrick's
College
Approved.
- 7.49 An tOllamh Dónall Ó Baoill, Queen's University Belfast
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.49, MA, Roinn na Gaeilge, St Patrick's College
Approved.
- 7.50 An tOllamh Gearóid Denvir, National University of Ireland, Galway
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.50, PhD, Roinn na Gaeilge, St Patrick's College
Approved.
- 7.51 Professor Harry Matlay, Birmingham City University
Student ref. GSB2012/A5/7.51, PhD, Geography Department, St Patrick's
College
Approved. Agreed that the Department would be requested to supply details
of the years associated with the nominated external examiner's publications.
- 7.52 Professor John Butt, University of Glasgow
Student ref. GSB2012/A5, 7.52, DMusPerf, Royal Irish Academy of Music
Agreed that communication with the Academy with respect to the outcome
of this matter would be contingent upon the student being registered for
2011/12 and 2012/13.

8. Other student issues

Requests to extend registration period

- 8.1 Student ref. GSB2012/A5/8.1 – Dublin City University Business School
Approved.
- 8.2 Student ref. GSB2012/A5/8.2 – Dublin City University Business School
Approved.
- 8.3 Student ref. GSB2012/A5/8.3 – School of Nursing and Human Sciences
Approved.

9. Any other business

None.

Date of next meeting:

**Thursday 18 October 2012
9.00 a.m. in A204**

Signed: _____
Chair

Date: _____